



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,345	12/27/2000	Masato Shimakawa	450100-02918	5389
20999	7590 05/28/2004		EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			JACKSON, JAKIEDA R	
745 FIFTH AV NEW YORK,	VENUE- 10TH FL. , NY 10151	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2655	
			DATE MAILED, 05/20/2004	11:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/749,345	SHIMAKAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jakieda R Jackson	2655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH! ute. cause the application to become ABAN	v be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·—- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and the control of th					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 December 2000 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square one drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in App riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4. 		Aail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/749,345 Page 2

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In response to the Office Action mailed September 4, 2003, applicants submitted an Amendment filed on March 2, 2004, amends the Specification and claims 1, 10 and 11, arguing that this makes them distinguishable over the prior art cited.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 10 and 11, have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant amended the claims to add that the speech synthesizing apparatus comprises a text generating means for generating text in response to a behavior event.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) unpatentable over Sadakuni (U.S Patent No. 6,446,056) in view of Holm et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,260,016),

Art Unit: 2655

hereinafter referenced as Holm in further view of Tackett et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,363,301), hereinafter referenced as Tackett.

Regarding **claim 1,10 and 11** Sadakuni discloses and interactive artificial intelligence comprising:

a behavior planning unit (Figure 1, element 7), which reads on the claimed "behavior-state changing means", responsive to a behavior event (column 8, lines 37-38 and 41-53), for changing a behavior state according to a behavior model (behavior modified by emotion; figure 3, element g and figure 12 with column 1, lines 40-43);

an emotion-generating unit (Figure 1, element 3), which reads on the claimed "emotion-state changing means" for changing an emotion according to the emotion model (figure 12); and

selecting means selecting control information according to at least one of the behavior state (attack; column 5, lines 21-25) but Sadakuni lacks synthesizing means for synthesizing a speech signal and a text generating means for generating text in response to said behavior event.

Holm discloses synthesizing means (figure 1) for synthesizing a speech signal (column 4, lines 8-23), to generate a pronunciation of the word.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sadakuni's invention such it discloses synthesizing means for synthesizing a speech signal, to generate synthesized speech, wherein the normally missing prosody information is supplied from the templates based on data extracted from human speech (column 8, lines 61-65), to provide more natural

Art Unit: 2655

sounding prosody through the use of prosody templates (column 1, lines 6-9) but Holm lacks a text generating means for generating text in response to said behavior event.

Tackett discloses a text generating means for generating text (column 20, lines 58-60) in response to said behavior event (user's language inappropriate, so user is kicked off the system by the robot; column 33, lines 8-12), to improve the robots behavior.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sadakuni and Holm with a text generating means for generating text in response to said behavior event, to allow the robot to learn from interaction with user and improve its own behavior, as taught by Tackett (column 2, lines 65-67).

Regarding **claim 2**, Sadakuni discloses an interactive artificial intelligence device but lacks including at least one of a segment-data ID, a syllable-set ID, a pitch parameter, a parameter of intensity of accent, a parameter of intensity of phrasify, and an utterance-speed parameter.

Holm discloses a speech synthesis system including at least one of a segment-data ID, a syllable-set ID (syllables enunciated), a pitch parameter (pitch rises and falls), a parameter of intensity of accent, a parameter of intensity of phrasify (intensity of syllables; column 2, lines 20-30), and an utterance-speed parameter (speech rate; column 8, line 49), to convey the reader's interpretation of the material.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sadakuni's invention such that it includes at

Art Unit: 2655

least one of a segment-data ID, a syllable-set ID, a pitch parameter, a parameter of intensity of accent, a parameter of intensity of phrasify, and an utterance-speed parameter, to insure a natural prosody, as taught by Holm (column 9, lines 11-16).

Regarding **claim 3**, Sadakuni discloses an interactive artificial intelligence with detecting means for detecting external conditions (Figure 3, step a and column 9, lines 10-19).

Regarding **claim 4**, Sadakuni's interactive artificial intelligence discloses holding means for holding individual information (column 6, line 32 and column 5, lines 31-43).

Regarding **claim 5**, Sadakuni's interactive artificial intelligence discloses counting means for counting elapsed time (column 4, lines 20-42)

Regarding **claim 6**, Sadakuni discloses an interactive artificial intelligence device comprising at least one of the number of accumulating means for accumulating the number of times the behavior and emotion state changes (column 2, 14-19 and lines 58-61).

Regarding **claim 7**, Sadakuni discloses an interactive artificial intelligence, but lacks comprising substituting means for substituting words included in the text.

Holm discloses substituting means for substituting words included in the text (substitute word; column 9, lines 7-11), to insure a natural prosody.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sadakuni's invention such that it discloses substituting means for substituting words included in the text, for providing more natural sounding prosody through the use of prosody templates.

Art Unit: 2655

Regarding **claim 8**, Sadakuni discloses an interactive artificial intelligence but lacks the converting means for converting the style of the text.

Holm discloses converting means for converting the style (prosody) of the text (column 1, lines 29-44).

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sadakuni's speech synthesizing apparatus method and recording medium, for the purpose of supplying the system with requisite information concerning the number of syllables and stress patterns that fit the given emotion.

Regarding **claim 9**, Sadakuni an interactive artificial intelligence that is a robot (column 1, lines 48-51 and column 18, lines 6-7).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to speech apparatus's in general:

- U.S. Patent Number 5,615,301 to Rivers discloses an automated language translation system.
- U.S. Patent Number 6,675,144 to Tucker et al. discloses audio coding systems and methods.

Page 7

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jakieda R Jackson whose telephone number is 703.305.5593. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 5:00p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Talivaldis I. Smits can be reached on 703. 306-3011. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JRJ May 17, 2004

> TÄLIVALDIS IVARS ŠMITS PRIMARY EXAMINER